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1: $n$-vector or $O(n)$ model. This model is defined by considering classical, $n$-component spin variables $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{i}}=$ $\left(S_{\mathbf{i}}^{1}, \ldots, S_{\mathbf{i}}^{n}\right)$ on the lattice sites $\mathbf{i}=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{d}\right)$ of a $d$-dimensional regular lattice with the interaction Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} J_{\mathbf{i j}} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{i}} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{j}}-\sum_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{i}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J_{\mathbf{i j}}$ is the exchange energy for given lattice sites $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ and $\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}}$ is the local external field. It is assumed that the spin variables at each lattice site can take continuous values with fixed magnitude normalized as $\left|\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{i}}\right|^{2}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}\left(S_{\mathbf{i}}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}=n$.
(i) Argue that for the cases $n=1,2$ and 3 the above model corresponds to the standard spin- $1 / 2$ Ising, XY and classical Heisenberg models, respectively, provided that the spin interactions are only allowed between the nearest-neighbor lattice sites.
(ii) Using the Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation show that the partition function of this model can be mapped exactly to the following lattice field theory:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Z}_{N}=C_{J} \int\left(\prod_{\mathbf{i}} d \boldsymbol{\psi}_{\mathbf{i}}\right) e^{-\beta S\left[\left\{\boldsymbol{\psi}_{\mathbf{i}}\right\},\left\{\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}}\right\}\right]} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{\mathbf{i}}$ is an unconstrained $n$-component fluctuating field at site $\mathbf{i}$ and the effective Hamiltonian ("action"):

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} J_{\mathbf{i j}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{\mathbf{i}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi}_{\mathbf{j}}-\frac{1}{\beta} \ln \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbf{S}} e^{\beta \sum_{\mathbf{i}}\left(\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}}+\boldsymbol{\psi}_{\mathbf{i}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{i}}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used the standard definition $\sum_{\mathbf{k}} J_{\mathbf{i k}}^{-1} J_{\mathbf{k j}}=\delta_{\mathbf{i j}}$ and $\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbf{S}}$ denotes the tracing (summation) over permissible spin states. Note also that $C_{J} \propto[\operatorname{det}(\beta \hat{J})]^{-1 / 2}$, where $\hat{J}$ is a large matrix describing the coupling between spin components across the whole lattice as required.
(iii) Derive explicit expressions for the trace-term $\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbf{S}} e^{\beta \sum_{\mathbf{i}}\left(\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}}+\boldsymbol{\psi}_{\mathbf{i}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{i}}}$ by taking a constant external field $\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}}=h_{0} \mathbf{z}$ for the cases $n=1,2$ and 3 .
(iv) By a shift of variable $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{\mathbf{i}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\psi}_{\mathbf{i}}-\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}}$ show that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{i}}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial \ln \mathcal{Z}_{N}}{\partial \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}}}=\sum_{\mathbf{j}} J_{\mathbf{i j}}^{-1}\left\langle\psi_{\mathbf{j}}\right\rangle-\sum_{\mathbf{j}} J_{\mathbf{i j}}^{-1} \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{j}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

What is this result telling us about the physical meaning of the field $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ?
(v) For later convenience define the following field:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\mathrm{i}}=\sum_{\mathrm{j}} J_{\mathrm{ij}}^{-1} \psi_{\mathrm{j}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Write down the partition function in terms of this new field (remember to keep the shifting that we performed previously). What is the physical meaning of the field $\varphi_{\mathrm{i}}$ ?
(vi) In order to derive the expression in part (ii) one needs to assume that $\hat{J}$ is a positive-definite matrix. This is obviously not the case for the standard nearest-neighbor models mentioned above. Explain why?
(vii) Show that it is always possible to redefine the spin coupling matrix as $\hat{J}^{\prime}=\hat{J}+J_{0} \hat{\mathbb{1}}$, where $J_{0}$ is a constant and $\hat{\mathbb{1}}$ is an identity matrix of the same dimension as $\hat{J}$, such that $\hat{J}^{\prime}$ is a positive-definite matrix. You can do this by considering any of the cases $n=1,2$ and 3 (spin- $1 / 2$ Ising, XY and Heisenberg) explicitly. Can the foregoing procedure be used to ensure the positivity of the spin coupling matrix in the case of spin- 1 Ising model?

Spring Semester (2016)
School of Physics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran
Lecturer: Ali Naji (School of Physics, IPM)
Tutor: Bahman Roostaei (School of Physics, IPM)
Homework \#4: Continuum Limit \& Hubbard-Stratonovich Transformation (I) Due: April 12, 2016
(viii) In the continuum limit, the lattice fields $\varphi_{\mathbf{i}}$ and $\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}}$ can be mapped to continuous fields $\varphi(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x})$ in space and $J_{\mathrm{ij}}$ can be mapped to a continuous interaction kernel $J\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$. What is the relation between $\left\{\varphi_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}}, J_{\mathrm{ij}}\right\}$ and $\left\{\varphi(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}), J\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathrm{x}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ ?
(ix) Argue that for a homogeneous and isotropic system, $J\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)=f\left(\left|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right|\right)$ for a known function $f(x)$. Now assume the original interaction in the discrete model has been of the nearest-neighbor type and by expanding the function $f$ show that the field "action" can be written in general as a sum of a free-field and an interaction part, that is, in the absence of external field $(\mathbf{h}=\mathbf{0}), S[\varphi]=S_{0}[\varphi]+V[\varphi]$, where $\varphi=\left(\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{0}[\varphi]=\int d^{d} x \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}\left[\frac{K}{2}\left(\nabla \varphi_{\alpha}\right)^{2}+\frac{t}{2} \varphi_{\alpha}^{2}\right] . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Find the explicit form of $V[\varphi]$ for the cases $n=1,2$ and 3 .
(x) Show that for small $|\boldsymbol{\varphi}|$ and $(\mathbf{h}=\mathbf{0})$, the action takes the following form on the leading order:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S[\varphi]=\int d^{d} x \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}\left[\frac{K}{2}\left(\nabla \varphi_{\alpha}\right)^{2}+\frac{t}{2} \varphi_{\alpha}^{2}+u \sum_{\beta=1}^{n} \varphi_{\alpha}^{2} \varphi_{\beta}^{2}\right], \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which in the Ising case ( $n=1$ ) reduces to the standard $\varphi^{4}$-theory for continuous phase transitions with a scalar order parameter. Find an expression for each of the prefactors in the above expression and show that, while $K$ and $t$ are independent of $n, u$ will depend on $n$.

2: Field action for a helical magnetic system. Consider a two dimensional square lattice with a classical magnetic moment $\mathbf{m}$ sitting on each site. Certain types of magnetic system show helical order at low temperatures because of anisotropic next nearest neighbor interactions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}=-J \sum_{i, j} \mathbf{m}_{i j} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{i+1, j}-J \sum_{i, j} \mathbf{m}_{i j} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{i, j+1}+J^{\prime} \sum_{i, j} \mathbf{m}_{i, j} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{i+2, j} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $(i, j)$ determines a single site on the lattice and $J, J^{\prime}>0$.
(i) Why is this Hamiltonian representing an anisotropic system?
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(ii) Assuming $\mathbf{m}$ is a unit vector and the square lattice is in $x-y$ plane we can write:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{m}=\{\sin \theta \cos \varphi, \sin \theta \sin \varphi, \cos \theta\} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $m_{z}$ represent the component of spins out of the plane of the lattice. Write down the Hamiltonian in terms of variables $\theta$ and $\varphi$. Assuming fluctuations out of the plane of the square lattice are small write down the Hamiltonian only in terms of variables $\varphi$.
(iii) With above considerations, moments are now assumed lying in the plane of the square lattice. In an anisotropic helical-order ground state the spins in one direction $(y)$ are aligned, while in another direction ( $x$ ) they make an angle $\vartheta$ with respect to each other (see Figure). Show that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta=\arccos \left(J / 4 J^{\prime}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

What restrictions on $J$ and $J^{\prime}$ exist for the possibility of the existence of helical order?
(iv) Expand the Hamiltonian to fourth order (only in $x$-direction, why?) in spatial derivative to obtain the following continuum Hamiltonian:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H} \approx \frac{J}{a} \int d^{2} x\left\{\left(\partial_{y} \varphi\right)^{2}+\frac{a^{2}}{4}\left[\left(\partial_{x} \varphi\right)^{2}-q^{2}\right]^{2}+\frac{a^{2}}{4}\left(\partial_{x}^{2} \varphi\right)^{2}\right\} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and determine $q$ in terms of $\vartheta$ and lattice constant $a$.
(v) What conditions must $\vartheta$ satisfy for the above continuum limit to be valid?

